Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—The explanation has not yet been received.

President.—The transport occurred in 1102 and 1103. So you must have called for explanation long before.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—When was this explanation called for?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—I do not remember the date.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—You may refer to the file and give the information.

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—I have not got the file here.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Was the explanation called for after the receipt of this question by the Government?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—For that also I want notice.

Contractors of the Forest Department for felling timber in 1104.

203. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) the names of the contractors to whom the contracts for felling and collection of timber budgetted for in 1104 were given by the Forest Department;

(2) the quantities to be collected as per the different contracts and the names of the coupes from which these are to be collected;

(3) quantities collected and measured under these contracts and the quantities yet to be collected from these coupes;

(4) have all the trees been marked as per the tender notification; if so, how many in each coupe and in each species;

(5) was any fresh marking done after the tender notification; if so, how many trees were marked; the list of such trees may be kindly placed on the Table;

(6) did the contractors collect the timber from distant areas or from areas near the Tram line and how many trees thus collected are below 14 *vannams* and were these treated as toplings as the case of the seigniorage rosewood contract of Mr. Mugaseth?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—(1) (a) Mr. C. T. Chinnava Rowther.

(b) Mr. A. R. Joseph.

(c) Mr. C. P. Luiz.

(2) (a) 5,600 candies from D1, D3, D4 and D11.

(b) 1. 800 candies from D6 and D10 coupes.

2. 2,600 candies from behind C1 coupe.

(c) 2,500 candies from O. W. C. coupe.

3) (a) Collected 4,306 candies.

To be collected 1,294 candies.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(b) 1. Collected 691 candies.
To be collected 109 candies.
2. Collected 1,136 candies.
To be collected 1,464 candies.

(c) Collected 2,500 candies.
To be collected nil.

(4) (a) Yes.

(b) *Names of the coupes.* | *Teak.* | *Rosewood.*

Behind	C1 coupe	439	412
,,	D9 ,,	5	,,
,,	D1 ,,	207	19
,,	D3 ,,	264	16
,,	D4 ,,	203	22
,,	D11 ,,	219	91
,,	D6 ,,	19	15
,,	D10 ,,	108	24

D1, D4, D9 coupes and area opposite C3 coupe.	*White cedar.*	*Kora-marudu.*	*Pulia-marudu.*	*Irul.*
	61	173	88	156

(5) Yes. A list giving the particulars is placed on the Council Table. The number is given under the answer to 4 (b).

(6) All the timber was collected from within 3 miles from the Tram-line. The collections were as 'logs' and not as 'trees'. The number of logs collected under 14 *vannams* is:—

1.	Teak	1,045	logs.
2.	Rosewood	65	logs.
3.	White cedar	54	logs.
4.	Koramarudu	112	logs.
5.	Pullamarudu	54	logs.
6.	Irul	180	logs.

None was treated as toplings.

Narangampara coupe.

204. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased (1) to state how many trees were marked to be cut for fuel in the Narangampara coupe for 1103;

and how many logs were removed by the contractor in 1103 and 1104;

and how many still remain to be removed by the contractor;

of the above, how many toplings were removed by the contractor and how many toplings still remain to be removed;

how many trees were cut by the contractor;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly